UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,358	01/19/2006	Orestes J. Varonis	TIMK 8394W1	5581
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, OCTAVIA L	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,358	VARONIS, ORESTES J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	OCTAVIA DAVIS	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS futte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of th	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/517,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishibe et al (5,062,062) in view of Garshelis (5,708,216).

Regarding claims 20, 28 and 29, Nishibe et al disclose a torque detecting apparatus comprising a shaft 10, a bearing 500 attached to the shaft and including an inner race 530, an outer race 510 and rolling elements 520 disposed between the inner and outer races, a sensor 12 and an electrical circuit 32 that receives an output of the sensor (See Col. 20, lines 44 – 48 and Col. 40, lines 8 – 13) but does not disclose that the magnetoelastic ring is press fit onto the inner race and the sensor being a Hall effect and magnetoresistive sensor. However, Garshelis discloses a magnetoelastic torque transducer comprising a magnetostrictive sensor taking the form of a ring 4 that includes an inner surface 22 (See Col. 8, lines 10 - 15) and that is press fit or shrink fit onto a shaft 8 at a convenient location along an axis of the shaft which is within the torsionally stressed region of the shaft (See Col. 8, lines 7 – 15 and Col. 20, lines 17 – 24) and a magnetic sensor that is a Hall and a magnetoresistive sensor (See Col. 11, lines 8 - 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nishibe et al according to the teachings of Garshelis for the purpose of, advantageously providing a magnetoelastic torque transducer which provides a single output signal conditioning easily separable shaft torque and shaft speed information, enabling power to be determined from the transducer (See Garshelis, Col. 5, lines 8 - 13).

Regarding claims 21 and 22, in Nishibe et al, the inner race 530 includes a taper portion 532 (See Col. 36, lines 30 - 31).

Regarding claims 23 - 26, in Nishibe et al, the sensor comprises an excitation coil 16 and a sensing coil 20 that are packaged into a single unit 600 mounted to and within the outer race 510 and a holder ring 610, 640 (See Col. 20, lines 21 – 27 and Col. 36, lines 55 – 67, See Fig. 35).

Regarding claim 27, in Nishibe et al, a second excitation coil 16 and a second sensing coil 20 are provided (See Col. 40, lines 3 – 13, See Fig. 36B).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 - 19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Jorgensen et al (5,115,685) disclose torque meter.

Fish (5,146,790) discloses a torque sensor.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Octavia Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-2176. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon through Thurs from 9 to 5. The examiner can also be reached on

alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on 571-727-2180. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may

be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center 0gBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Edward Lefkowitz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2855

OD/2855

5/22/08